

Global Credit Research - 19 Jun 2015

Sweden

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Negative
Bank Deposits	A2/P-1
Baseline Credit Assessment	baa1
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	a3
Parent: Skandia Insurance Company Ltd.	
Outlook	Stable
Insurance Financial Strength	A2

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Jan Skogberg/London	44.20.7772.5454
Oscar Heemskerk/London	
Sean Marion/London	
Forssen, Daniel/London	

Key Indicators

SkandiaBanken AB (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2]12-14	[2]12-13	[3]12-12	[3]12-11	[3]12-10	Avg.
Total Assets (SEK million)	115,107.0	98,597.0	84,638.0	76,767.0	68,101.0	[4]14.0
Total Assets (EUR million)	12,151.6	11,140.9	9,868.3	8,626.3	7,550.8	[4]12.6
Total Assets (USD million)	14,704.1	15,351.6	13,010.3	11,198.2	10,129.8	[4]9.8
Tangible Common Equity (SEK million)	5,036.0	3,907.0	3,169.0	2,726.3	2,601.6	[4]18.0
Tangible Common Equity (EUR million)	531.6	441.5	369.5	306.3	288.5	[4]16.5
Tangible Common Equity (USD million)	643.3	608.3	487.1	397.7	387.0	[4]13.5
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	[5]0.3
Tangible Common Equity / Risk Weighted Assets (%)	12.1	10.9	10.5	9.9	9.7	[6]11.5
Problem Loans / (Tangible Common Equity + Loan Loss Reserve) (%)	3.4	3.5	5.2	7.4	6.1	[5]5.1
Net Interest Margin (%)	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.2	[5]1.3
PPI / Average RWA (%)	1.5	0.7	1.2	0.3	0.5	[6]1.1
Net Income / Tangible Assets (%)	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	[5]0.3
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	67.0	81.0	78.2	94.1	90.8	[5]82.2
Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	16.1	12.9	2.4	0.2	0.1	[5]6.3
Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	9.0	13.8	21.4	29.1	19.5	[5]18.6
Gross Loans / Total Deposits (%)	120.2	103.0	77.6	75.0	85.8	[5]92.3

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel III - fully-loaded or transitional phase-in; IFRS [3] Basel II; IFRS [4] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on IFRS reporting periods [5] IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation [6] Basel III - fully-loaded or transitional phase-in & IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

On 28 May we upgraded Skandiabanken AB's long-term deposit and senior unsecured debt ratings to A2 from A3, we affirmed the baa1 baseline credit assessment (BCA) and the a3 adjusted BCA. The upgrade reflects the bank's substantial cushion of liabilities, eligible for bail-in, assuming a currently unlikely stress-scenario, under our Advanced Loss Given Failure (LGF) analysis.

Skandiabanken's baa1 baseline credit assessment (BCA) reflects the bank's (i) very strong asset quality, (ii) low-risk retail mortgage-oriented business model and, (iii) strategic role as the banking arm of the Skandia Group. The BCA is limited by the bank's limited standalone franchise strength, in addition to low profitability and efficiency associated with its Swedish operations. The bank benefits from a supportive insurance-parent (Skandia Insurance Company Ltd.; insurance financial strength rating A2 stable) which translates into an adjusted BCA of a3.

SKANDIABANKEN'S BCA IS SUPPORTED BY ITS VERY STRONG- MACRO PROFILE

Sweden's Very Strong - Macro Profile benefits from a competitive and diverse economy, robust public institutions and a stable political environment that supports consensus orientated policy making. However, we view Swedish households' debt levels (half of which consist of mortgages) and the multi-year growth in household debt as key vulnerabilities to the financial system.

Although the banking system is concentrated around four banks, we believe that competition in the Swedish banking industry is healthy. On a negative note, Swedish banks are structurally reliant on market funding and that exposes them to swings in investor sentiment. This risk is, however, partially mitigated because (i) Sweden has its own currency and, (ii) domestic investors hold over two thirds of the country's bonds.

RATING DRIVERS

- Skandiabanken has a limited standalone franchise but plays a role in the wider Skandia group
- Asset quality is strong but lending growth is high
- Capitalisation is adequate relative to risks but low compared to other banks
- A track-record of weak profitability and low efficiency
- Funding profile is becoming more diversified, and unsecured market funding provides a cushion to depositors in a failure scenario

RATING OUTLOOK

Skandiabanken's long-term deposit ratings carry a negative outlook. Especially its Swedish business has a track-record of weak profitability owing to a material cost-base. The weak efficiency associated with the Swedish operations is likely to become more pronounced if the bank sells its relatively profitable Norwegian business, as it announced in January 2015.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - UP

Any upgrade of Skandiabanken's BCA would likely be accompanied by improved profitability without a significant increase in risk appetite. This is most likely to be achieved through cost containment combined with some level of lending growth.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - DOWN

Skandiabanken's BCA could be negatively affected if the bank in the long-term fails to improve its efficiency and profitability in Sweden given that the bank is planning to divest its profitable Norwegian branch.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

The financial data in the following sections are sourced from Skandiabanken's financial statements unless otherwise stated.

- SKANDIABANKEN HAS A LIMITED STANDALONE FRANCHISE BUT PLAYS A ROLE IN THE WIDER SKANDIA GROUP

Skandiabanken is a small bank active in Sweden and Norway. In Sweden it reports a market share in lending of around 1%. It remains unclear if the bank's plans to grow in Sweden will bear fruit given the competitive household lending market in the country. In January Skandiabanken said that it is planning to exit the Norwegian market which accounted for 56% of total lending at 31 March 2015.

The bank focuses almost exclusively on mortgage lending, compared to the larger Swedish banks that provide a wider product offering both to households and companies. Skandiabanken does not operate its own branch network, a competitive disadvantage that weakens its standalone franchise strength. However, the bank benefits from its position within the Skandia Group which provides access to the group's large insurance customer base through the group's 54 branches. We consider that monetising insurance relationships has historically proved difficult for banks due to a lack of regular customer contact and intense competition in both Norway and Sweden.

- ASSET QUALITY IS STRONG BUT LENDING GROWTH IS HIGH

Skandiabanken's asset quality is very strong, reflected by a problem loans ratio which tends to be around 20 basis points of gross lending. Lending consists almost exclusively of mortgages although the bank also offers products such as personal loans, credit cards and car loans (Norway). We consider its emphasis on retail mortgage lending as lower risk than corporate lending, although it leaves the bank exposed to the retail real estate market in Sweden and Norway.

More negatively, loan growth continues to be aggressive. In 2014, lending grew by 33% in Sweden and by 16% in Norway. We view the aggressive expansion and low mortgage book seasoning as risks because it is less clear how these mortgages, which have been originated during a period of very low interest rates, will perform in the future compared to a more seasoned loan portfolio. We also think that above average growth in a competitive market is associated with underwriting risks. Looking ahead, lending growth is likely to be high in Sweden as the bank seeks to address its efficiency and profitability challenges associated with its operations in that country.

Alike many Nordic banks, Skandiabanken's credit risk concentration is high in terms of borrower concentration. That said, the largest exposures originate from the bank's liquidity portfolio which is of high credit quality. Unlike most of its peers, Skandiabanken's industry exposure is extremely small due to its negligible corporate lending activities.

- CAPITAL ADEQUATE RELATIVE TO RISKS BUT LOW COMPARED TO OTHER BANKS

Skandiabanken reported a core tier 1 ratio of 12.7% at 31 March 2015 which is at the lower end compared to other Swedish financial institutions. We nevertheless consider the bank's capital position as adequate given its low risk profile.

The bank currently applies the standardised capital approach when calculating credit risks whilst many other Swedish banks use an internal-ratings based (IRB) approach that tends to drive up capital ratios. The bank is no longer pursuing its IRB application with the Swedish FSA.

Skandiabanken has a track record of receiving capital injections from its parent in order to enable its aggressive lending growth. For example, in November 2014 the bank received a SEK525 million injection from its parent. Whilst such injections enable lending growth in order to achieve economies of scale and improved profitability, we consider the strategy as risky (see asset quality section above) as it could ultimately affect the bank's capitalisation negatively.

- A TRACK-RECORD OF WEAK PROFITABILITY AND LOW EFFICIENCY

Skandiabanken has a track-record of weak profitability compared with larger Swedish banks and Norwegian banks of similar size. The bank's weak profitability reflect its relatively low risk products and its policy of offering attractive interest rates in a competitive market, as well as its relatively high cost-base.

Hovering around 100%, Skandiabanken's cost-to-income ratio for its Swedish operations has consistently been considerably higher compared with other Swedish and Norwegian banks. It stood at 84% at 31 March 2015 (85% a year earlier), driven mainly by staff and administration expenses.

Looking ahead, and assuming the bank manages to divest its Norwegian branch, efficiency is likely to be under pressure because of the weak efficiency associated with the Swedish operations. We understand that

Skandiabanken intends to improve its profitability by cutting costs and by increasing lending volume, mainly to customers of the wider Skandia group. Including Norway, the bank group reported a cost-to-income ratio of 65% in 2014 (57% at 31 March 2015) compared to 77% in 2013, but this improvement mainly comes from the Norwegian branch which Skandiabanken now intends to sell.

- FUNDING PROFILE IS BECOMING MORE DIVERSIFIED

Skandiabanken has traditionally been almost exclusively deposit-funded, with deposits at year end-2012 amounting to 97% of total funding. In 2013 the bank issued its first covered bonds which were denominated in both SEK and NOK. The bank's funding profile becomes more diversified as it issues covered bonds which we view positively. In addition, the shift to secured funding can support its profitability. However, a material increase in market funding would expose the bank to fluctuations in investor sentiment; a credit negative.

The bank's deposits are purely internet-based and we believe that such deposits are less sticky than deposits originated through more traditional bank branch channels, because internet deposits have tended to be more price and confidence sensitive compared to deposits attracted in a branch through a face-to-face interaction.

Skandiabanken's liability structure may change given the announcement to divest its Norwegian branch. The bank has not yet outlined which liabilities will be transferred to the Norwegian entity. Moreover, it is not yet known if the bank's covered bond investors will consent to the transfer of covered bonds to the Norwegian bank.

The bank held a liquidity reserve of SEK18 billion - 16% of liabilities - at 31 March 2015. The portfolio consists mainly of cash and balances with central banks, government securities and both secured and unsecured bank securities. Much of the portfolio is of very strong credit strength which we view positively. The portfolio also contains unrated securities. We understand that these securities mainly consist of Nordic regional and local government bonds.

NOTCHING CONSIDERATIONS

LOSS GIVEN FAILURE AND ADDITIONAL NOTCHING

We apply our advance loss-given-failure analysis on Skandiabanken as the bank is subject to the EU Bank Resolution and Recovery Directive (BRRD), which we consider to be an Operational Resolution Regime. For this analysis we assume that equity and losses stand at 3% and 8%, respectively, of tangible banking assets in a failure scenario. We also assume a 25% run-off of "junior" wholesale deposits and a 5% run-off in preferred deposits. Moreover, we assign a 25% probability to junior deposits being preferred to senior unsecured debt. These are in line with our standard assumptions. Given the bank's focus on retail deposits, we assume the bank's junior deposits to account for 10% of total deposits.

We believe that Skandiabanken's deposits are likely to face low loss-given-failure, due to the loss absorption provided by subordinated debt and senior unsecured debt (should deposits be treated preferentially in a resolution). In addition, the bank has a large deposit base, meaning that any losses would be spread over a large base, thus translating into low losses for the individual depositor. Skandiabanken's long-term deposit ratings consequently receive a one-notch uplift to A2.

GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

Our ratings assigned to Skandiabanken do not contain uplift due to government support.

COUNTERPARTY RISK ASSESSMENT

We assign a long-term and short-term CR assessment of A1(cr) and P-1(cr) respectively.

CR Assessments are opinions of how counterparty obligations are likely to be treated if a bank fails and are distinct from debt and deposit ratings in that they (1) consider only the risk of default rather than the likelihood of default and the expected financial loss suffered in the event of default and (2) apply to counterparty obligations and contractual commitments rather than debt or deposit instruments. The CR assessment is an opinion of the counterparty risk related to a bank's covered bonds, contractual performance obligations (servicing), derivatives (e.g., swaps), letters of credit, guarantees and liquidity facilities.

About Moody's Bank Scorecard

Our Scorecard is designed to capture, express and explain in summary form our Rating Committee's judgment.

When read in conjunction with our research, a fulsome presentation of our judgment is expressed. As a result, the output of our Scorecard may materially differ from that suggested by raw data alone (though it has been calibrated to avoid the frequent need for strong divergence). The Scorecard output and the individual scores are discussed in rating committees and may be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity.

Rating Factors

SkandiaBanken AB

Macro Factors	
Weighted Macro Profile	Very Strong -

Financial Profile						
Factor	Historic Ratio	Macro Adjusted Score	Credit Trend	Assigned Score	Key driver #1	Key driver #2
Solvency						
Asset Risk						
<i>Problem Loans / Gross Loans</i>	0.2%	aa1	← →	a1	Loan growth	
Capital						
<i>TCE / RWA</i>	12.1%	a2	← →	baa2	Risk-weighted capitalisation	
Profitability						
<i>Net Income / Tangible Assets</i>	0.3%	ba2	← →	ba2	Return on assets	
Combined Solvency Score		a2		baa1		
Liquidity						
Funding Structure						
<i>Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets</i>	16.1%	a2	← →	a1	Extent of market funding reliance	
Liquid Resources						
<i>Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets</i>	9.0%	ba2	← →	ba2	Stock of liquid assets	
Combined Liquidity Score		baa2		baa1		

Financial Profile

baa1

Qualitative Adjustments
Business Diversification
Opacity and Complexity
Corporate Behavior
Total Qualitative Adjustments

Adjustment
-1
0
0
-1

Sovereign or Affiliate constraint

Aaa

Scorecard Calculated BCA range

baa1 - baa3

Assigned BCA	baa1
--------------	------

Affiliate Support notching	1
----------------------------	---

Adjusted BCA	a3
--------------	----

Instrument Class	Loss Given Failure notching	Additional notching	Preliminary Rating Assessment	Government Support notching	Local Currency rating	Foreign Currency rating
Deposits	1	0	a2	0	A2	A2

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moodys.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable.

Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and

municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.